	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/666,611	NGUYEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted Kim	3746	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) <u>Ted_Kim</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Shimokaji</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 28 April 2005	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 19, 20, 22, 24, 25 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE OF The specification and claims were discussed as in the Re		T WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession. 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the subsofthe interview, since the interview,	tance
M	.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	i